

MINUTES OF THE MEETING

OF

THE NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING TECHNICAL
ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE

April 21, 2026

The Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee was called to order by Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer at 1:04 p.m. on Tuesday, April 21st, 2026. This meeting is being conducted virtually. This meeting was noticed in accordance with Nevada Open Meeting Law and posted on <https://dss.nv.gov/Home/Features/Public-Information/> the Division of Social Services website.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Owner, CEO, Chief Strategist, Winged Wolf Innovations LLC

Vice Chair Brooke Page, Western Region Managing Director Corporation for Supportive Housing, Nevada

Dr. Dayona Turner, Title II-Part A State Director, Nevada Department of Education

Chris Murphey, Grants Manager, Churchill Council on Alcohol and Other Drugs DBA: New Frontier, Nevada

Dr. Pamela Juniel, Mckinney-Vento Coordinator, Nevada Department of Education

Vevlyn Grant, Care Extender, Mindoula Health

Juawana Grant, Southwest Director, Corporation for Supportive Housing

Julee King, Sage Product Manager, The Partnership Center, Ltd

NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE – April 21, 2026

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Adrienne Babbitt, Deputy Administrator-Programs, Nevada Housing Division

Arash Ghafoori, Chief Executive Officer, Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth (NPHY)

Dr. Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Executive Director, Nevada Homeless Alliance

OTHERS PRESENT:

Nicole Fritz, Social Services Manager, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Carlea Freeman, Family Services Supervisor, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Abigail Bagolor, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Gregory Farris, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Devan King, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Veronica Jarchow, DAG

Agenda Item I. [Welcome, Call to Order, Roll Call]

Abigail Bagolor:

Good afternoon, and welcome to the meeting of the Governor’s Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee. This meeting has been publicly noticed in compliance with Nevada’s open meeting law. Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer will call the meeting to order.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Good afternoon. It is 1:04 p.m. on April 21st, 2026. I’d like to call the meeting of the Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee to order. Abigail, will you take roll, please?

Abigail Bagolor: [Roll Call. We Do Have Quorum.]

Agenda Item II. [General Public Comments]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Item number two on our agenda is public comment. No action may be taken upon a matter raised until the matter has been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111, ID 731 029 308 followed by pound. We are now open to public comments. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the committee. Do we have any public comment? Do we have any written comments, Abigail?

Abigail Bagolor:

There is none.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We will close public comment. There is another public comment at the end of this meeting.

Agenda Item III. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Approval of Minutes from March 17, 2026, Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee Meeting]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

The minutes should have been attached to the appointment notice in your calendar. If you have any questions or corrections, now is the time to bring those up. Otherwise, I am open to receiving a motion for approval.

Vevlyn Grant:

I move to approve the minutes.

Juawana Grant:

I second the motion.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

All those in favor, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “aye”.

Brooke Page, Dayona Turner, Chris Murphey, Vevlyn Grant, Juawana Grant, Julee King:

Aye.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “nay”. Any abstentions? Motion carries. Minutes approved. We will close agenda item number three and move on to agenda item number four.

Agenda Item IV. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Vote Around Reestablishing the Size, Composition and Potential Term Limits of the ICHHTA Committee]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

This item has been on our agenda for the last few months. We've been having ongoing discussion around the ideal size of this committee, the composition we want to achieve, and if we want to establish term limits for committee members. I'm open to further conversation and whether we are ready to move forward with any kind of vote on this agenda item.

Chris Murphey:

We sent out a self-assessment for all board members to complete to see how we would rank if we were coming in as new individuals. Was a final document presented indicating the composition of the committee?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

There was one person that had not completed the survey. It was going to be sent back out for completion. Then, we were going to review. Abigail, can you update us?

Abigail Bagolor:

The top category is Experience in Homelessness Services, followed by Intersectional Systems Experience and Skills and Knowledge Expertise. The bottom category is Lived Experience, which is at four percent. These are the responses from all eleven members of this body.

Chris Murphey:

If we took out all the people who have been on this committee for more than four years, me being one of them, how would our demographic or membership makeup change?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Abigail, do you know who gave answers and has been on the committee for more than four years?

Abigail Bagolor:

I don't have that information. I must look back to those past minutes or records.

Pamela Juniel:

I have been a member for four years.

Juawana Grant:

I am looking at the technical assistance committee guidelines that Doctor Catrina sent after the last meeting. They suggest staggered, two-year terms, timelines and term limits. Is this what has already been adopted or what was suggested from an earlier discussion?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That's the information that was suggested by the subcommittee. They have put together some options or suggestions for this committee to consider as we are discussing potential term limits. This committee has never had term limits.

Juawana Grant:

I like the suggestion of having term limits and the staggering term limits to make sure not too many people roll off at the same time. My vote is to add term limits.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

If we implement term limits, how do we want to handle that for people that have been on the committee for a while? Do we automatically drop off at this point because we have essentially overstayed our term limits? Does everybody start term limits at this point and move forward?

Vevlyn Grant:

That goes back to what you stated in our last meeting about having broader representation on the committee, but not everybody needs to sit on the actual committee to be in these meetings. We could explore cases of people staying around after their term has ended. Doctor Catrina's document suggested a one year waiting period before people can reapply to rejoin the committee.

Pamela Juniel:

I've been on this committee for four years as the sole representative for education. My concern is who will represent education if no one from education is here?

Juawana Grant:

That's a great point about making sure we maintain representation. There is an opportunity to renew terms instead of automatically falling off. This document says that during the transition to new term limits, everybody would be assigned or appointed new term limits at that moment. Six members would have a one-year term, and six members would have two-year terms, which would prevent anybody immediately falling off. It would be great to get the opinion of the people who have been on the committee the longest and see what their thoughts are on how much longer they want to stay, or what term limits they want to engage in if we end up transitioning.

Chris Murphey:

I'm one of those who would be transitioning off and I'm likely the four percent Homeless Experience representative in this group, I'm trying to offer my experience and expertise to help maintain homeless representation on this committee. I'm curious to see what the feedback was from the ICHH and what they want of this committee. That may weigh in on the importance of having history and experience in putting the strategic plan together. I'm afraid that we may lose history in the work that we've done by losing some of us that have been here a while.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That is well said. I echo what Chris said.

Pamela Juniel:

I third that. We were all here and put together the strategic issues that we are all now standing on.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Vevlyn?

Vevlyn Grant:

I want to echo the sentiments of Chris and Doctor Juniel. Because I'm new, I didn't make much contribution to this conversation. The member amount shouldn't necessarily increase if it's been effective in meeting quorum prior to the last round of voting. Terms would be great. Consistent rotation of members would benefit the committee more than increasing member count. I'm hopeful that whatever might have initiated this action item doesn't devalue lived experience as an important representation on this committee. It is just as an important contribution to this conversation, if not more important, than experience and expertise in homeless services. Although I have both, I lead with my lived experience. In addition to providing support services directly, that is what drives me to seek and form these types of partnerships. I'm not suggesting a revolving door for committee membership, rather an assessment of possible stigma surrounding members that lead with lived experience.

Pamela Juniel:

I appreciate you inserting that point. There are multiple layers to this work. It's not just a one-off. Representation is important.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any other comments?

Juawana Grant:

We should extend the term limits and have the option of serving consecutive terms. It depends on the work. We want to make sure we maintain the right expertise. It creates some structure but also builds in enough flexibility that the work is carried on long term while avoiding abrupt interruptions after one year or two years.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Brooke?

Brooke Page:

I appreciate Juawana's comments. It would be helpful to have an emeritus role for people reaching their term limits with a year of transition to support knowledge transfer while honoring the history and expertise that members bring. Having an emeritus role to help with that transition might help address some of the concerns raised today.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That's a great concept. That's not something we have discussed. How do people feel about that?

Pamela Juniel:

Thank you, Brooke. This will help drive the composition of the group and provide a pathway of how the group will continue to evolve over time.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Given the way state committees are run and that only committee members can speak during these meetings, would emeritus members be allowed to speak, but not vote, and provide information? Does anybody from the H2H team or our DAG have that information?

Abigail Bagolor:

We can address your questions to the DAG, and we will get back to you as soon as we have the answers.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

How do we want to move forward?

Pamela Juniel:

I would like to get some feedback from the DAG. If we were to implement emeritus status for some of our term limited members, would we have the option of reactivating someone in the emeritus status to keep the work moving forward? We could look at clearly defining emeritus status.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Other thoughts? Is the consensus that we table this item for vote until we get more information on the emeritus status from our DAG?

Vevlyn Grant:

I motion to table this discussion until we get further details.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you. Brooke?

Brooke Page:

Could we also ask our DAG to research whether having an alternate is an option if an emeritus role isn't available? For example, if someone in this role was voted in as a member but had an alternate to step in and support, could that alternate act as an incoming member? Or could someone that is terming out act as an alternative?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you. Doctor Juniel?

Pamela Juniel:

Thank you for offering options of what a transition plan would look like and getting more information.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Since we need more information to identify what the term limits should look like, I'm going to table this agenda item and ask the support team to put it on our next agenda. We will also receive information

from our DAG on what our options are. Any last-minute comments, suggestions or anything we want to discuss on this item before we close it? Seeing and hearing none, I am going to close this agenda item and move to agenda item number five.

Agenda Item V. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Vote Regarding the Feedback to the Memorandum and Action Plan Sent to the ICHH]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I wasn't able to attend that meeting. Brooke, do you know who was able to make it?

Brooke Page:

Thank you, Madam Chair. I did attend that meeting. I was able to speak on behalf of our committee to outline and present the memo that we voted on. We covered the memo in detail. We provided a historical overview of the work that has been done by ICHHTA. We requested direct action from the ICHH to give us direction on how to move forward. Some of the key points that we covered were the three priorities from the strategic issues and the corresponding action items that we wanted the council to consider. We also provided recommendations regarding our role as a technical assistance work group and the next update of the strategic plan. We received a unanimous decision to move forward with our recommendations. They adopted it completely. There was a focus on ensuring that we got the support needed to advance the next strategic plan, inform the ICHH's agendas moving forward and help them progress action items that would further the action plan. They also adopted the action plan as we proposed. It provided us with the language and authority for us to move forward in an intentional way to advance the action plan. I want to thank our ICHHTA member but also an ICHH member Doctor Catrina Grigsby-Thedford because she helped provide an important voice in moving these conversations forward, I want to commend the ICHHTA for your steadfastness. It's been a long journey, and it's been frustrating, at times, but I believe we have the direction and the work we need to move forward.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any comments or questions? Brooke, thank you very much for taking this to the ICHH and getting feedback. We appreciate you spearheading this work. Chris?

Chris Murphey:

Thank you very much, Brooke. That's what I love about this committee. It's like we are all in synch with each other. I love the brilliant minds we get to work with.

Brooke Page:

This is a collective effort. Thank you for the feedback.

Pamela Juniel:

I know timing is important. I appreciate our group. The ICHH meetings were always either right before or

tangential to our meetings. We couldn't get on their agenda. I'm grateful for this group having wisdom and leading it to this point. We now have open communication and get feedback in a timely manner.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

What are our next steps?

Brooke Page:

We've got work to do. What is important is the work that needs to happen at the ICHHTA level to help inform the ICHH. We prioritize the three strategic goals that we thought were most important for the ICHH to move forward with and ways we would like them to consider leveraging us. There is a section in the memo which requests for ICHH guidance on the ICHHTA role. We can do things like translating high level strategic goals into step-by-step implementation guidance for the council to consider. We can provide information to state and local agencies to support implementation, as well as subject matter expertise on funding alignment, data integration, housing best practices, and cross systems coordination. We can help with presentations and topics that can inform future meetings, the action plan and future iterations of the strategic plan. We can also be leveraged as a feedback loop to identify barriers to implementation and recommend policy or regulatory fixes to the ICHH. They appreciate that we could do the work at the ICHHTA level and elevate it to the ICHH so they can make decisions and determine how to move things forward. It would behoove us to go back to the three strategic priorities that we've outlined for the ICHH, identify what actions steps are important in the action plan and brainstorm the next steps for us to advance that plan. We should revisit the working groups we've had in the past that helped inform and develop the action plan. We should do some work between meetings to help bring those ideas to future ICHHTA meetings to decide what goes to the ICHH. We can identify ways that we get work done.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

It sounds like we need an item on our next agenda to start working on some of that.

Brooke Page:

This is an actionable agenda item. We have the ability now to make some decisions about how we work moving forward. We can make a motion about what that structure will look like and open it up for discussion. We've been given the authority to move forward. Because they meet quarterly, we have time during our monthly meetings to help inform their agendas and things they should be considering.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We should look at our three priority areas which are Housing, Homeless Prevention and Intervention and Wrap-Around Services, identify the action steps within those areas and brainstorm our next steps.

Brooke Page:

We've outlined in our action plan some detailed action steps that are important in relation to these strategic areas. It is important for us to revisit those action steps and understand what we need to see happen in Nevada to advance them. We could have a working group that can further talk about those action steps and propose ideas on how to advance them at our next ICHHTA meeting. We can use that

as a discussion topic for our May meeting to help us further that strategy. If there's decisions that need to be made, we would take them to the ICHH for them to put on their agenda. Are there any thoughts about process or strategy?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Are there any questions about process or a way to structure this?

Chris Murphey:

We should divide and conquer based off who can offer the most to each strategic issue and create working groups, as Brooke suggested, to focus on each of these three. Then, we should present our recommendations to the ICHHTA at the next meeting.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Juawana?

Juawana Grant:

I agree with the working group suggestion. In the action plan, there are opportunities to identify next steps such as lead departments, collaborative departments and deciding a timeframe. We could do some working groups for each of the three priorities, identify missing pieces and bring that forward to the greater group to decide where to go from there.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That makes sense. We talked about statewide interdepartmental collaboration, coordination and building that into the work with the action plan. I want to ensure that, as we continue in that vein, we think about who's bringing people for conversation and identify who's responsible for what. We should not only look at CoCs, county entities and nonprofits but state divisions and departments that are doing things.

Brooke Page:

Thank you for bringing that up. The intention of the next iteration of the strategic plan is to get state agencies to see their role in the larger context of addressing homelessness. There are many state agencies that are doing work that we may not be tracking. Having an opportunity to incorporate that into the next iteration of the strategic plan was the intent of the third section of the memo. In addition to the action plan activities and tracking what work is happening to update the action plan, we should consider bringing state agencies to the ICHH meetings and recommending that they present on the work they're doing to address homelessness. If there are specific budgets, funding and action items being used, we should document them so we can use that information to inform the next strategic plan. That was the goal of providing a more statewide actionable plan.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That is helpful differentiation. Thank you. We need to develop working groups. We need volunteers to be champions for Housing, Prevention and Intervention and Wrap-Around Services working groups and volunteers to be on the working groups.

Juawana Grant:

I'm happy to be on the Housing working group.

Julee King:

I'm happy to be on the Prevention and Intervention working group.

Chris Murphey:

I'd be happy to be on the Wrap-Around Services working group.

Vevlyn Grant:

I volunteer for Wrap-Around Services.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Does anybody want to volunteer to be lead for any of these?

Juawana Grant:

I'm happy to lead the Housing group. There are some people who couldn't make the call today. Is it true that there is a limit to how many people can be on a working group before it becomes a public meeting?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We cannot have a walking quorum. We can't have six or more working group members.

Juawana Grant:

Since a few people are not here today, can we have the team send it out and have people sign up?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Yes. It makes sense to ask our support team to contact ICHHTA members and ask them to sign up for spots on the working groups. We will need a lead that works with them to identify a date and time for the meeting and facilitate the meeting to make sure the work is happening. Juawana, are you volunteering to lead the Housing group?

Juawana Grant:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I'm happy to be on any of the working groups. I can fit in wherever we need extra assistance. I don't know if I have the bandwidth to be on all of them.

Brooke Page:

I'm happy to assist with the Wrap-Around Services working group. I cannot commit to being a lead.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

There are three people not with us today that we will tap for some of these working groups. The goal for the working groups is to meet at least once before the next ICHHTA meeting, review the action steps that are in the action plan, brainstorm next steps for implementation and bring them back to our next meeting. Is that correct?

Brooke Page:

Yes, that sounds great. I'd like the working groups to think about state agency roles that they think might be at play with particular action steps. For example, where does the state intersect in an action step?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We have marching orders.

Brooke Page:

Will this action step that we just discussed help us advance a decision during our next meeting? Is that enough information for us to make an informed decision that we can vote on? Are we asking people to make recommendations to the working group about how we advance these action plans to make sure we've got a throughput and stuff is moving?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We can add to the assignment the task of bringing back recommendations for implementing action steps.

Brooke Page:

That's a good idea.

Juawana Grant:

Yes, for feedback from the group and a voting after revising feedback from the group.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Something like bring back recommendations and receive feedback from the group for implementation?

Brooke Page:

That sounds great.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Are we going to invite other people outside the committee members to be part of the working groups?

Brooke Page:

It would be great to open it up for the community to participate.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

How are we going to do that invite?

Brooke Page:

Can we send out to a Listserv that these working groups are happening if people want to join?

Juawana Grant:

We can also extend targeted invitations to state agencies, like Brooke mentioned, once we've identified which ones might be involved.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Would that be for your first meeting between now and our next ICHHTA meeting? Or would you talk at your meeting about what state agencies need to be brought to the table for future meetings?

Juawana Grant:

I would recommend that the internal ICHHTA committee plan the outreach, propose it to the council and then do the outreach after. That way you have more information about what the work will look like.

Brooke Page:

It's worth having a conversation in the working groups about how we facilitate a broader conversation if we open it up to the public and ensure we have the strategy and processes to manage a call if one hundred people show up.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I'm confused. We don't open it up for other people for the first meeting, just committee members?

Brooke Page:

We keep the first meeting for the internal working group members to talk through strategy and how we would advertise this for future working group meetings.

Juawana Grant:

Agreed.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We still need a lead for the Prevention and Intervention and Wraparound Services groups. Keep that in mind. Feel free to volunteer. Any other discussion on this agenda item?

Brooke Page:

Thank you, everyone, for your willingness to dive in and help us move these action plans forward.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We will close this agenda item and move to agenda item number seven.

Agenda Item VII. [For Information Only – Discussion of Agenda Items for the Next Meeting on May 19, 2026]

Chair Michele Fuller Hallauer:

This is a discussion of agenda items for our next meeting on May 19th, 2026. We have some standing items. We have identified that we are going to carry over agenda item number four to get some information our DAG is going to collect. We are going to have discussion and a possible vote around reestablishing the size, composition and potential term limits for this committee. We are going to have an agenda to receive recommendations and get feedback for implementation from each of the work

groups that were formed today. Anything else we want on the agenda for next month? We will close this agenda item and move to agenda item number eight.

Agenda Item VIII. [General Public Comments]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Item number eight on our agenda is the last public comment. No action may be taken upon a matter raised until the matter has been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111, ID 731 029 308 followed by pound. We are now open to public comments. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the committee. Do we have any public comment? Do we have any written comments, Abigail?

Abigail Bagolor:

There is none, Michele.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Seeing and hearing none, we will close agenda item number eight. It is now 2:14 p.m. and this meeting of the Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee is adjourned. Thank you all for joining us today. I appreciate your participation and the robust conversation.


Agenda Item IX. [Adjournment 2:14 p.m.]

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:



Abigail Bagolor, Committee Moderator

APPROVED BY:



Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Chair

Date: 05/06/2026