

MINUTES OF THE MEETING

OF

THE NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING TECHNICAL
ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE

February 17, 2026

The Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee was called to order by Vice Chair Brooke Page at 1:03 p.m. on Tuesday, February 17th, 2026. This meeting is being conducted virtually. This meeting was noticed in accordance with Nevada Open Meeting Law and posted on <https://dss.nv.gov/Home/Features/Public-Information/> the Division of Social Services website.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Vice Chair Brooke Page, Western Region Managing Director Corporation for Supportive Housing, Nevada

Adrienne Babbitt, Deputy Administrator-Programs, Nevada Housing Division

Chris Murphey, Grants Manager, Churchill Council on Alcohol and Other Drugs DBA: New Frontier, Nevada

Arash Ghafoori, Chief Executive Officer, Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth (NPHY)

Dr. Pamela Juniel, Mckinney-Vento Coordinator, Nevada Department of Education

Dr. Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Executive Director, Nevada Homeless Alliance

Vevlyn Grant, Care Extender, Mindoula Health

Juawana Grant, Southwest Director, Corporation for Supportive Housing

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Owner, CEO, Chief Strategist, Winged Wolf Innovations LLC

Dr. Dayona Turner, Title II-Part A State Director, Nevada Department of Education

Julee King, Sage Product Manager, The Partnership Center, Ltd

OTHERS PRESENT:

Alexis Ochoa, Social Services Chief, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Nicole Fritz, Social Services Manager, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Carlea Freeman, Family Services Supervisor, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Abigail Bagolor, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Gregory Farris, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Devan King, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Agenda Item I. [Welcome, Call to Order, Roll Call]

Abigail Bagolor:

Good afternoon, and welcome to the meeting of the Governor’s Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee. This meeting has been publicly noticed in compliance with Nevada’s open meeting law. Vice Chair Brooke Page will call the meeting to order.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Good afternoon. It is 1:03 p.m. on February 17th, 2026. I’d like to call the meeting of the Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee to order. Abigail, will you take roll, please?

Abigail Bagolor: [Roll Call. We Do Have Quorum.]

Agenda Item II. [General Public Comments]

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Item number two on our agenda is public comment. No action may be taken upon a matter raised until the matter has been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111, ID 731 029 308 followed by pound. We are now open to public comments. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the committee. Do we have any public comment?

Pamela Juniel:

The Nevada COMPASS app desktop version is up and ready to go. I will share the link so everyone will have the opportunity to take a look at it. We are still in the process of getting the app loaded on the app store so that it can be widely available for use for everyone. Thank you to those on this committee and to our partners at Nevada Homeless Alliance and NPHY for your feedback. It took two to three years to get to this point. It does take a team and village to get some work done. I look forward to continued partnership.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Please forward the information and we'll share it with the committee. Thank you. Any other public comment? Abigail, do we have any written public comments?

Abigail Bagolor:

There is none.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

We will close agenda item number two and move on to agenda item number three.

Agenda Item III. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Approval of Minutes from January 20, 2026, Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee Meeting]

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

If there are no questions, I will entertain a motion.

Adrienne Babbitt:

I move to approve the minutes from January 20th.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Do we have a second?

Arash Ghafoori:

I second the motion.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, please vote by saying “aye”.

Vevlyn Grant, Juawana Grant, Chris Murphey, Adrienne Babbitt, Pamela Juniel, Arash Ghafoori, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Aye.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any opposition, please say “nay”. Any abstentions? Seeing none, motion is approved. We will move to agenda item number four.

Agenda Item IV. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Vote Around the Definition of the Scoring Criteria and Letter of Interest for Recruitment]

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

We have a committee that’s been working on this task in the interim. Does anybody want to represent our committee and lead us on this topic?

Arash Ghafoori:

Doctor Catrina, is there anything you can speak to?

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Last month, Arash shared with you the criteria, rubric and some additional verbiage for the website to inform people who are interested in submitting their application to be part of the team. Arash informed me that you all want to know what the ad hoc committee thought about size and composition. We had a brief talk about having a twelve-to-fifteen-member committee with two-year terms that would be staggered. This would allow the committee to retain people who have been here and understand the history while realizing people could drop off the committee at any time. People would be given the opportunity to serve up to three terms of two years each. There is guidance that each committee needs to have at least one voting member from the ICHH. I am on the ICHH, so we have fulfilled that requirement. We need to think about what the composition of this committee should look like, establishing the minimum and maximum number of members and the length of their terms. My suggestion is a two-year term. I did some research on staggered terms. New people coming in can serve for one year because a group of people may fall off or voted back in every year. This would still allow us to get new perspective while maintaining the traditional knowledge of what is going on. There are multiple ways for this to work with a two-year term. With staggered terms, not everybody is going to come in at the same time unless we have an open application where we accept people at specific quarters. Staggered would avoid everybody’s term being up at the same time while allowing new members to have gradual onboarding, keeping the committee stable and refreshed. We need to have members with different backgrounds in relation to housing, substance use, justice work, policy

development and things of that nature. A percentage of the individuals should have lived experience, not necessarily of homelessness, but in the broader terms of lived experience.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you, Doctor Catrina. That provides a good overview of the discussion. Are there any questions for Doctor Catrina or Arash? Adrienne?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Was there any conversation around specific expertise? We had discussed making sure we had geographic representation and by specific strength to ensure well-rounded membership.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

I did have notes that we should be able to cover the whole State of Nevada. With our proposal to this committee on what we should look like, we listed different types of careers or backgrounds that we think are important.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Doctor Pam?

Pamela Juniel:

Thank you both for everything you've put into uplifting and elevating our member selection process. Will we be using the different strategic issues as a reference to have balanced membership? Since 2022, I have been the only member representing education and now we have Doctor Turner who works in the same agency as me. When referring to education, there is education of children and youth experiencing homelessness and education of committee members. There is also how we are providing ways and methods to build capacity of those who work with individuals experiencing homelessness. Those are two fundamentally different things.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

The original document says that the Technical Assistance Committee may include representatives of federal, state and local agencies, providers of supportive services, religious organizations, people involved in the sale or lease of housing and members of the public. We did a draft of what that could look like, but we don't want it to be the end all, be all. It is worth a conversation to ensure we are covering what needs to be covered. I have some verbiage that allows for flexibility instead of black and white.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Arash?

Arash Ghafouri:

We didn't get a chance to take as deep of a dive on suggesting balances between group member types and expertise. As a group, we can all provide some input on what type of weight we are looking for in each of the categories that were suggested for ranking. This could be done by strategy, as well. If we are looking for direct experience, professionals, homelessness lived experience, intersectional experience

such as education, there are only so many spots to go around on a twelve-to-fifteen-person committee. We could assemble something that allows us to keep in mind what we're lacking and not lacking in terms of balance that everyone is seeking in the group's composition.

Pamela Juniel:

Thank you for the insight.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Chris?

Chris Murphey:

We have a lot of organizations in Nevada that do work in homelessness. There is USDA, HUD and State of Nevada DPBH, who do housing under rural services. We had broad categories but should target some of these organizations. When I look at our strategic plan and try to assign activities to different groups and who should be doing things that meet the goals of the strategic plan, it is going to be important to have those representatives as part of this committee. The people that do that low-income tax credit and the new group that's doing the governor's initiatives on homelessness in Nevada should have comments included if we're going to put together a strategic plan.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thanks, Chris, for the feedback. There's good work that's been done. Thank you to both Doctor Catrina and Arash for your time and effort and for getting us some more context related to scoring criteria and the committee composition for the ICHHTA. You all proposed some recommendations. We have tabled the vote until this meeting for you to provide some additional feedback, have a more substantive conversation around the size and composition of the group. What I'm hearing is that representation related to expertise, geography, education, background and the strengths that different people bring to this committee are important. Chris has talked about the importance of ensuring that we've got geographic diversity as well as ensuring that we're working with community-based organizations and folks that are embedded in the homeless response system. There's cross-sector work that's happening across the state. Is there an opportunity for us to learn from other groups and get representation? Based off the recommendations that were proposed for the size and composition, do we have enough information to consider voting or do we need more time?

Arash Ghafouri:

Everyone is seeking more information on how to strike a balance between the amount of people we have and the different things that we are looking for so that we are not under or overweight. How specific does the group want to get? Do we want to say that there must be four people representing each category? How nuanced do we want to measure this balance?

Pamela Juniel:

The way that the scoring anchors have been laid out helps us not get into the weeds and overthink it. The goal is to make sure that we have enough members so that we meet quorum in our meetings and can advance the work moving forward. This is leaps and bounds beyond what we had before. Once we

accept new members, we can possibly discuss tying it to the strategic issues based on the individual's expertise. This gives us more information and foundational support than we've had since I've been part of the committee.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you, Doctor Juniel. We need to consider the composition in terms of quorum, which we've been able to meet in the last six months. With the current makeup that we have, becoming more restrictive and nuanced in terms of prescribing the type of folks we want on the committee might impact someone's willingness to be at the table. We've opened and casted a wide net. People who have been interested in joining this committee have been able to participate. Hopefully, they can still attend and be aligned with the expectation of the meeting cadence. Targeting a certain population and ensuring that we get certain representation on the committee is one thing. But prescribing it and writing that certain people must be on the committee could limit our ability to ensure that we've got the makeup and representation to meet quorum. Doctor Juniel?

Pamela Juniel:

That's exactly what I was thinking. We should get our members in to talk about the different strategic issues. This gives us the opportunity to bring in new members and have some flexibility as to which of the strategic issues may or may not need more support. We can be flexible in our grouping based on the expertise and present needs. We know that sometimes legislation comes up where all of us may need to get behind ensuring we're able to support certain types of legislation. There may be more on housing at this juncture versus a piece on education, etcetera. I agree with what you're saying. We don't want to limit people before they can fully participate as members.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Great, thank you. Adrienne?

Adrienne Babbitt:

I agree. We should continue with our membership and the way we're planning to do selection process, but also, as a committee, look at our strategic plan and membership to see where gaps might be. Then, we can be intentional about inviting those people to present at this meeting or to consult or advise in areas that we might not have as a collective strength.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

All great points. Arash?

Arash Ghafouri:

Speaking of gaps, what we can do is march forward as everyone is talking about and staff can aggregate data for us as to where the geographic representation, lived experience and all other categories are on the board. Not necessarily who but compiling a score from one to ten for each category. Then, every time we are considering someone new or until we further refine it, we have that sent out every time, so the committee remembers what the current composition is.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Good points. Any other thoughts or discussion on this topic? This is important stuff. It's impacting our ability to true up how many people there are on this committee. Do we have enough people for quorum? Those are things we want to be aligned with our open meeting law policies. We've got possible action. Do we want to vote on the definition of the scoring criteria as it relates to this list? Do we feel we have enough information to move forward with the next round of recruitment now that we've brought on two new members? Do we want to put this into a pilot and test it to see if it works? Or are there some tweaks we want to make before putting this into action?

Vevlyn Grant:

We should test it out. If this hasn't been updated within the past two years, this would be the perfect time to pilot and see if this works for the next two or four years.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Adrienne?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We should move to approve this new scoring matrix and have a poll for our current membership. So that we can see where we are in relation to this new criteria and evaluate if we have significant gaps that would make us want to increase membership. Then, we can do an intentional recruitment in the areas we need more support based on this new scoring matrix.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

It's an important idea to leverage these scoring criteria and test it against our existing membership, asking staff to help us understand our existing membership. If there's gaps, we can use that information for future recruitment or to increase our current size. Any discussion related to that recommendation?

Chris Murphey:

I like that idea. Each of us will have an opportunity to score ourselves. We can compile those scores and see exactly where we sit at present. It gives us a chance to test the tool on people we know best, which is ourselves.

Adrienne Babbitt:

If we're doing a poll, could we include an open text box for input on what would be important for the committee to know about your experience that was not captured in the scoring matrix? That way we are making sure that we are covering all our bases.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Is this addressing the work that the ad hoc subcommittee has done thus far? Do you all have any feedback on these recommendations and thoughts on how we should proceed?

Arash Ghafoori:

It's good to adopt what we have and refine or update as we go but not stall further. We can start using

and experimenting with it. If everyone thinks that's a step in the right direction, let's adopt that and keep stepping with it.

Pamela Juniel:

I agree.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

I will entertain a motion related to the scoring criteria and letter of interest for recruitment. Adrienne, I feel you have the language.

Adrienne Babbitt:

I move to adopt the scoring matrix as written and recommend a poll to match existing membership across our new scoring criteria.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you for the motion. Do we have a second?

Pamela Juniel:

I second the motion.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, please respond by saying "aye".

Chris Murphey, Arash Ghafoori, Pamela Juniel, Vevlyn Grant, Juawana Grant, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Adrienne Babbitt:

Aye.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

All those opposed, respond by saying "nay". Any abstentions? Motion passes. We have a scoring criteria for this committee. Congratulations. Thank you all for the hard work.

Agenda Item V. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Vote Around Re-establishing the Size and the Composition of the ICHHTA Committee]

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

This might be premature based on our discussion during agenda item number four. What are your thoughts?

Adrienne Babbitt:

I move that we postpone this discussion and potentially rewrite the language around term limits.

Pamela Juniel:

I second that motion.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any discussion on the motion? All those in favor, please respond by saying “aye”.

Chris Murphey, Arash Ghafoori, Pamela Juniel, Vevlyn Grant, Juawana Grant, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Adrienne Babbitt:

Aye.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any opposed, say “nay”. Any abstentions? Motion passes. We will table agenda item number five and consider term limits.

Agenda Item VI. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Vote Regarding the Recommended Change of the Action Plan to the Strategic Plan and Any Communications to the ICHH]

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

During our last meeting, we discussed the importance of being able to present to the next ICHH meeting with our recommendations of the strategic plan. We should consider what are our recommendations for the next iteration of the strategic plan as well as the priorities of the action plan. This committee has done a lot of work to develop an action plan. We want to make sure that those key priorities that we’ve identified are considered by the ICHH and that they also help us address how we move those action items forward. There was an ad hoc subcommittee that met which included Adrienne, me and an honorary member, Chris, who couldn’t join us but provided some feedback. We sent some recommendations to you all for consideration as far as language. For today’s discussion, we asked if you had any feedback related to the proposal and make any final recommendations on how we should proceed with the ICHH meeting. We received two emails. Doctor Turner’s feedback is no changes to the ad hoc subcommittee notes document. She asked if this document is going to be presented to the ICHHTA for implementation or to the ICHH for their review and approval and then for the ICHHTA to implement. This is all for the ICHHTA. We don’t want to make any decisions without the ICHHTA being on board and receiving a vote about what moves forward to the ICHH. All the recommendations were for your consideration as a committee. Juawana Grant suggested that we establish a new strategic plan for Nevada based on the suggestions made in the document, including limited timelines of three years maximum, a schedule for review and revision moving forward and a clear process for establishing buy-in from agencies responsible for action. These are in addition to all the suggestions made in the memo. Those are great touch points to make sure people are bought in and we’ve got some movement and accountability. Juawana also recommended that we consider seeking funding to support the work or even TA support for the ICHH to better understand the potential of this council. She also suggested making a firm proposal to the ICHH as to how the ICHHTA sees the most value in their role rather than asking them to broadly tell us.

Juawana Grant:

To clarify, I meant make a firm proposal to the ICHH about how the ICHHTA sees the most value in our role by us making suggestions on how we think we should be leveraged by the ICHH.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

That's great. Doctor Katrina?

Katrina Grigsby-Thedford:

The guidance on updating the strategic plan includes working with the legislative, regulations and communications committees. How often are we working with those other committees on our strategic plan? It's written into guidance. Since I've been here, we've been discussing the strategic plan and taking it to the ICHH, not the other committees. Is that something that we do or should start implementing? Do those committees even exist?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

I'm going to pass it over to Abigail and the staff to get their feedback on the makeup of the committees.

Abigail Bagolor:

Regarding the makeup of the committee, there are no set rules. It's not as complicated as the ICHH. With Doctor Katrina Grigsby-Thedford's question, I don't have an answer to that. Let me get back to you.

Katrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Thank you. I found it on the website in some original guidance from 2020 when the ICHHTA was established in September. It's almost six years old.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

I do recall there being a communications sub working group and a policy sub working group that were active during the past. Could Abigail give us some guidance on whether it's built into statute that it is required that we work with those committees to develop the strategic plan? What does that look like if those committees don't exist?

Juawana Grant:

I recently read the bylaws because I was added to this committee. It looks like they haven't been revised since 2021. It might be an opportunity to invite the ICHH to consider and revise the bylaws so that we can work together better.

Adrienne Babbitt:

I agree that we should make firm, solid proposals to the ICHH to propel our work forward. We tried to do that with the action plan and how we saw our role in accomplishing some of those tasks. We should continue to be assertive in our communications with the ICHH so that we can be as productive as possible. As to the comment about a new strategic plan, our current strategic plan is a living document that we can update and revise. We would need to decide as a working group if the priority areas that we identified are significantly different to where we are today versus when the strategic plan was created. If not, we will revise based on those suggestions versus start from scratch with a new strategic plan.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

This is a question for Abigail and the team. Is the requirement for a five-year strategic plan something that can be updated? Is it something that needs to be overhauled? A new plan? Maybe these are questions for the ICHH. There is nothing to be set in stone about what that looks like. It is prescribed in the legislation about the plan. Abigail, could you help us with some guidance for our next meeting about that?

Abigail Bagolor:

We will investigate the NRS and ask for counsel from our DAG. We will give you an answer before the meeting in March.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Great. Thank you, again, Doctor Turner and Juawana for your time and providing some feedback and recommendations related to the memo that was written. Is there any other discussion related to the memo that we want to consider today? This memo is drafted. We wanted everyone on the committee to have an opportunity to read, understand and provide feedback on it. It is intended to be sent to the ICHH with our recommendations. We can vote on that and be able to send them these recommendations. Are there any thoughts about the process?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Can we review the memo?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Yes. The memo was dated January 20th. Its intent is to provide recommendations on priority action items and request guidance on the ICHHTA's role moving forward. It starts off with the purpose we're going to cover in this memo and the things we've done as a committee related to the strategic plan. Elevates the three priorities that we want the ICHH to prioritize and that we need guidance as well as clarification regarding our role. The memo is broken into those three categories. All the work done related to strategic issues, the road show that we've done to educate people on those strategic issues and the working groups that led to the action items that were created in alignment with the strategic plan. Then, the outreach to the Continuums of Care, the presentations that have been done over the years to educate about that process and reviewing and synthesizing input into what we have as far as the current action plan. That is supposed to be an accompaniment to the strategic plan. We've got ICHHTA recommendations. The three strategic areas, issues and action items that we would like for ICHH to prioritize that we voted on a while back. Housing was one of the top strategic issues. Identifying permanent funding sources related to housing was a top issue, as well as homelessness prevention and intervention. Target prevention funds more accurately for diversion and problem solving. The last one was wrap-around services. Engage stakeholders to establish partnerships and leverage existing resources to address wrap-around services. Those are the three strategic issues that we wanted to bring forward to the ICHH. We requested the ICHH advise the ICHHTA whether they want us to continue primarily in an advisory capacity by providing information. Are there expectations related to supporting implementation of any of the action items and the strategic plan such as monitoring or evaluating those

priorities? We asked how ICHHTA activities should align with the current priorities, timelines and decision-making processes of the ICHH to ensure that those action items are being actioned upon and given feedback. Clear guidance will enable the ICHHTA to structure this work effectively and continue providing meaningful support to the ICHH. Yes, Adrienne?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We should make a couple of revisions to this. On item three, in line with Juawana’s recommendations, we should change that language to say proposed recommendations for this working group moving forward versus requesting guidance. The three strategic areas for our action item should use firmer language that lays out what that could look like. I want to make sure that this is clear and propels the work forward. This should be an action item for them.

Pamela Juniel:

I agree with Adrienne. The language should be more assertive. We have done all this work, and for some reason, we may or may not make the agenda. With all this collective expertise on the ICHHTA, it would be a credit to us to allow us to move the work forward instead of being a lay group where we wait and see. We see the work that we’re doing. We need more support in putting our teeth in it and making the work move. Being a change agent and then waiting for someone to assist us to continue to be change agents is counterproductive.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

There’s some important feedback and changes related to proposing recommendations for their consideration, the role we play, using firmer language about what we expect from the strategic issues to happen and what role we want the ICHH to play to move the work forward. Any thoughts about that?

Juawana Grant:

Does this memo include the recommendations from the ad hoc group that we gave feedback on such as updating the strategic plan?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

There were two documents that were sent. This is the memo to the ICHH. The other was the notes from the ad hoc subcommittee meeting. The meeting notes include some proposed language such as how to move forward with the next iteration of the strategic plan and if you all think we should send those recommendations to the ICHH, as well. We have the strategic plan as a separate topic because it lives with the ICHHTA. We’ve got some important changes to make on the memo. Do we think the memo should include the recommendations related to the strategic plan?

Juawana Grant:

I do. If we are going to make some proposals, we should go all-in with everything.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

On the last page of the ad hoc subcommittee’s meeting minutes, there is some language that we

thought we could propose to ICHH for their consideration. Should this recommendation related to the strategic plan be added to the memo? Are there any changes to this language or thoughts about that?

Chris Murphey:

I love those recommendations. If we're going to truly solve homelessness in Nevada, we need to pull everybody together. That's what we are basically saying. Let's bring everybody to the table and solve this problem together. That's the only way we're going to do it.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thanks, Chris. This is multi-state focused. What is everyone doing? How can we make sure we're coordinated across state agencies? Juawana suggested that we incorporate this proposed recommendation related to the strategic plan and add that to the memo. We had some suggestions about changing some languages to our proposal to the ICHH. We're elevating the recommendations in a way that they can make more informed decisions related to the action plan. We also want to have firmer language about what they should look like and what would indicate success for the three strategic issues. They're broad, so we should firm up the language. Are there any other recommended changes that we should consider?

Vevlyn Grant:

It still feels like we are asking instead of doing it with their consideration. Should we consider it an amendable document versus an entire next iteration of a strategic plan? Wouldn't the recommendations simply be amendments to the current strategic plan and not have a new strategic plan voted on again. We can create amendments as we update that living document.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

That question is contingent upon us getting clarity from Abigail on whether a new plan needs to be created every five years or if we're able to amend the exist plan and refresh it. If we can amend it with this new iteration and incorporate feedback from the various state agencies, that could be an add-on to the existing plan. Adrienne?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Was the first strategic plan in 2021? Has it been five years? Is that why we're talking about this?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

There's been one update to the strategic plan since it was initially created.

Adrienne Babbitt:

At one of the last ICHH meetings, they were talking about formally adopting this as the state plan. Once it's formally adopted, could we then continue to amend it? What does that look like for the process of trying to get that approved and formalized as the state's official strategic plan?

Abigail Bagolor:

I would like to add to the edits that our name has changed from DWSS to Division of Social Services and from DHHS to DHS or Department of Human Services.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Those are important updates. Would the committee entertain a motion for us to work through these recommended changes? Then, the ad hoc subcommittee will meet again to finalize these changes, develop a memo for you all to approve in anticipation of the next ICHH meeting. Abigail, does the ICHH meet before the next ICHHTA meeting?

Abigail Bagolor:

No. Your next meeting is on March 17th and theirs is on March 19th.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

We can take these edits, make some final changes and propose those for the next ICHHTA meeting for final vote.

Pamela Juniel:

I notice that it is a moving target with the ICHHTA. That is something we want to discuss so that the ICHH and ICHHTA work better in tandem.

Adrienne Babbitt:

If this memo is going to the ICHH meeting for March, I would want to ensure they had an action item around this. I recall there was a presentation given by Brooke or Michele and it was for discussion only and they couldn't vote on it. If we send this memo with action requested, I hope there's an action item on their agenda. If it's not going to be for March, that's irrelevant.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

That's a great point. Has the March ICHH meeting been agendized?

Abigail Bagolor:

Yes, and to answer Adrienne's question, it has been included in their meeting as an action item.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

For open meeting law, does the memo have to be sent to them posted by a certain date?

Abigail Bagolor:

We recommend sending it a week before the meeting, by March 10th, so they can review it.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

We need a motion to approve that we amend our current memo with the suggestions that were raised today and send it to the ICHH before March 10th.

Pamela Juniel:

I move that we make the adjustments to the memo that were recommended in this meeting to be reviewed by the ICHHTA and forwarded to the ICHH so that it can be part of their agenda for their March meeting.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Do we have a second?

Juawana Grant:

I second.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you, Juawana. Any discussion, questions or concerns?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Does the ad hoc subcommittee need to be the same membership, or can we open it up to additional participation given that we have new membership that have made comments?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

We can open it up. We just can't have a walking quorum of more than three people. If there is anybody who wants to join that ad hoc subcommittee, please send your name to Abigail to see if we can accommodate so we address the walking quorum issue. We have a motion and a second. Any other discussion or questions? All those in favor, please respond by saying "aye".

Chris Murphey, Arash Ghafoori, Pamela Juniel, Vevlyn Grant, Juawana Grant, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Adrienne Babbitt:

Aye.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any opposed? Any abstentions? Motion passes. The ad hoc subcommittee will meet and get you those materials. We will now move to our next agenda item.

Agenda Item VII. [For Information Only – Discussion of Agenda Items for the Next Meeting on March 17, 2026]

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

We want to bring back the discussion related to the scoring. We tabled agenda item number five, reestablishing the size and composition of the ICHHTA. We may want to review this memo one final time with everyone before we present it to the ICHH for the strategic plan.

Adrienna Babbitt:

Can you clarify if we're having this memo completed by March 10th? I thought the ICHH was meeting before our next meeting. Are we meeting again before this memo is going to be reviewed by the full ICHHTA or are we meeting after?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

The ad hoc subcommittee needs to meet before March 10th to finalize the memo and be reviewed by

the ICHHTA before it goes to the ICHH at their meeting on March 19th. Are there any other agenda topics that you all want to bring forward for our next meeting? Since we were requested to vote whether to adopt the strategic action plan, we will send out the plan to this group so that existing members can bring any questions or thoughts before our next meeting and have an agenda item to vote on adopting. Any other topics? Seeing none, we will close this agenda item.

Agenda Item VIII. [General Public Comments]

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Item number eight on our agenda is public comment. No action may be taken upon a matter raised until the matter has been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111, ID 731 029 308 followed by pound. We are now open to public comments. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the committee. Do we have any public comment? Seeing no public comments, we will close this agenda item. We will now move to agenda item nine. We will adjourn at 2:21 pm. Thank you all for your time. See you next month.

Agenda Item IX. [Adjournment 2:21 PM]

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Abigail Bagolor

Abigail Bagolor, Committee Moderator

APPROVED BY:

Brooke Page

Brooke Page, Vice Chair

Date: February 26, 2026