

MINUTES OF THE MEETING

OF

THE NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING TECHNICAL
ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE

August 18, 2026

The Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee was called to order by Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer at 1:05 p.m. on Tuesday, August 18th, 2026. This meeting is being conducted virtually. This meeting was noticed in accordance with Nevada Open Meeting Law and posted on <https://dss.nv.gov/Home/Features/Public-Information/> the Division of Social Services website.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Owner, CEO, Chief Strategist, Winged Wolf Innovations LLC

Vice Chair Brooke Page, Western Region Managing Director Corporation for Supportive Housing, Nevada

Dr. Pamela Juniel, McKinney-Vento Coordinator, Nevada Department of Education

Chris Murphey, Grants Manager, Churchill Council on Alcohol and Other Drugs DBA: New Frontier, Nevada

Vevlyn Grant, Care Extender, Mindoula Health

Adrienne Babbitt, Deputy Administrator-Programs, Nevada Housing Division

Arash Ghafoori, Chief Executive Officer, Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth (NPHY)

Dr. Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Executive Director, Nevada Homeless Alliance

Julee King, Sage Product Manager, The Partnership Center, Ltd

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Dr. Dayona Turner, Title II-Part A State Director, Nevada Department of Education

Juawana Grant, Southwest Director, Corporation for Supportive Housing

NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE – August 18, 2026

OTHERS PRESENT:

Nicole Fritz, Social Services Manager, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Carlea Freeman, Family Services Supervisor, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Abigail Bagolor, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Gregory Farris, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Agenda Item I. [Welcome, Call to Order, Roll Call]

Abigail Bagolor:

Good afternoon, and welcome to the meeting of the Governor’s Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee. This meeting has been publicly noticed in compliance with Nevada’s open meeting law. Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer will call the meeting to order.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Good afternoon. It is 1:05 p.m. on August 18th, 2026. I’d like to call the meeting of the Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee to order. Abigail, will you take roll, please?

Abigail Bagolor: [Roll Call. We Do Have Quorum.]

Agenda Item II. [General Public Comments]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Item number two on our agenda is public comment. No action may be taken upon a matter raised until the matter has been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111, ID 731 029 308 followed by pound. We are now open to public comments. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the committee. Do we have any public comment? Do we have any written comments?

Abigail Bagolor:

There are none.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I will close this agenda item and move to agenda item number three.

Agenda Item III. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Approval of the Minutes from July 21, 2026, Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee Meeting]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Committee members should have received a copy of the minutes attached to your calendar appointment. At this time, I will take any discussion about the minutes. If there is none, I will entertain a motion for approval.

Adrienne Babbitt:

I move to approve the minutes from July 21, 2026.

Arash Ghafoori:

I second that motion.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I have a motion and a second. All those in favor, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “aye”.

Brooke Page, Pamela Juniel, Chris Murphey, Adrienne Babbitt, Vevlyn Grant, Arash Ghafoori, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Julee King:

Aye.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “nay”, Any abstentions? Motion carries. We will move to agenda item number four.

Agenda Item IV. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Action Regarding the Public Comment made at the July 21, 2026, ICHHTA Meeting]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

As we know, no action can be taken at the time of public comment until it is put on the agenda. The public comment from our last meeting was put on the agenda. I will now read the public comment. As part of the loyal opposition, I want to present another point of view on homelessness. My background is below. First, I ask you to look at the PowerPoint at www.HomelessSolutionsUSA.org. I believe you have to type it in, not search. The first reality is that agree or not the present administration is moving away from housing toward treatment that was long supported by Democrats in the past. I and others believe

it's drug addiction and mental illness, not housing or jobs. Following California's lead, we need changes in NRS 4333 mental health commitments to allow judicially approved longer stays for those in need. NRS 433.003 declaration of legislative intent number two to charge the Division of Public and Behavioral Health and the Division of Child and Family Services of the department with recognizing their duty to act in the best interest of their respective consumers by placing them in the least restrictive environment. It is not in the best interests of souls tormented by perceived demons and totally unable to care for themselves to be released on the street, often to be victimized sadly. But it is necessary to place these persons in a humane treatment facility while meeting all NRS 433 legal and judicial requirements. Stats show that mentally ill are prone to petty criminal behavior, or worse, fires be them accidental or arson. Homeless fires are the number one fire cause that urban fire departments respond to. At the 2026 Homeless Alliance Conference, these realities cannot be ignored and must be discussed. The official stated policies of the DHHS and administration cannot be ignored. I'll point out that year after year, I offer, as the loyal opposition, to present that point of view and at first agreed, then refused, saying that none of these ideas are prove really which programs that are offered are working? We have more homelessness than ever. Plan A is proven not to work, but I or others can present the other side. Please urge the conference hosts to include all sides and I'm sure somebody from the federal government could be found to attend and speak. If you cannot log in, a copy of the updated PowerPoint available on request. Sincerely, Jef Church.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

I want to address the portion about the Nevada Statewide Conference and not being invited to present. I want to thank Mr. Church for sharing his perspective and for his years of work in law enforcement and homeless services. To clarify, this is not a State of Nevada conference or a conference hosted by a state agency. It is a statewide conference hosted by Nevada Homeless Alliance with welcome participation from individuals and organizations across Nevada and other states. We agree that homelessness is complex and that conversations about behavioral health, substance use treatment and public safety are important. We also value hearing different perspectives at the Nevada Statewide Conference. However, including diverse perspectives does not mean that every individual or proposal is automatically included in the conference. At Nevada Homeless Alliance, we have a conference planning committee made-up of individuals with varied professional and lived expertise. Each proposal is submitted through a formal process and independently reviewed and scored using a comprehensive rubric. The committee considers alignment with the theme, relevance to the audience, practical application, potential impact and other criteria. Proposals that meet the scoring threshold are considered alongside available time, space and overall balance. On behalf of Nevada Homeless Alliance, we appreciate your comments and will share them with our conference planning committee. We remain committed to offering a thoughtful conference that encourages meaningful discussions and maintaining a fair and consistent process when selecting presentations. I wish to ensure that the person who submitted the public comment is aware that this is not a Nevada State conference ran by a state agency and that all submitted proposals are scored by the conference planning committee for their final decision.

Pamela Juniel:

I would like to respond to the public comment that was made by Mr. Church. I am bringing perspective as a person who lived and worked in Washoe County, where Mr. Church is from, for several years. I had the opportunity to work in the central office for the Washoe County School District while Mr. Church was part of the Washoe County School Board. In relation to addressing homelessness, some of the decisions that were made by and for the Washoe County School District, including policies that were encouraged or proposed by Mr. Church exacerbated homelessness for children, youth and students in Washoe County. I want to provide a broader view of the views that were expressed and some that were not at that time that were diametrically opposed to some of the actions that were taken by this individual. I appreciate these perspectives being added. I want to be sure that I added information that is pertinent to the evolution of the perspectives that were expressed in public comments.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you. Is there any other discussion? Seeing and hearing none, we will close this agenda item.

Agenda Item V. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Action Regarding the Recommendation from the Three Prioritized Strategic Issues Workgroups: Housing, Homeless Prevention and Intervention, and Wraparound Services]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Are there any reports from the workgroups?

Vevlyn Grant:

Housing and Wraparound Services workgroups were able to meet and we have some recommendations. Evaluating whether policy or legislative action is needed to address identified funding barriers and gaps. Considering recommendations such as enhanced cross-sector funding coordination, formal resource braiding policies, legislative proposals or bill draft requests and making them formal recommendations for inclusion in reports to the governor's office or a legislative council bureau. Concerning the role of the ICHH, is any of this work designated for them or are these actions and activities in the work plan for us?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We help to identify those things. We can take things back out to the community. The ICHHTA is a mediary. Knowing the strategic plan as we do, if there's somebody in a community that could do the work, we can reach out and request information or offer to help. If there's something that needs to be elevated to the ICHH for their attention, it is my opinion that it is our duty to do so.

Brooke Page:

I appreciate the question and desire to understand the role of our recommendations to the ICHH. We've created a robust work plan. It is an important discussion on who does what and where the work should lie in terms of informing it. It is also important for us to propose to the ICHH and get their feedback

about how they see this plan. Going back to our charter and the NRS that governs this work, we've done our due diligence with addressing the action plan and strategic plan. Helping to move it forward showing there's action happening to address eliminating homelessness across the state takes a collective effort. If our recommendation is to elevate the concerns and issues to the ICHH with some recommended action and ways they can leverage their statewide leadership, influence and relationships to advance the action plan, it's important for us to ensure that we are letting them know what needs to happen, change and advance through the action plan. We have a unique opportunity to do that through the action plan. It's on us to identify those opportunities, make those recommendations and update what we know based on what we're seeing in the community and conversations. We should do our due diligence to attempt to advance the priorities of the action plan.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Brooke. What was the next question?

Vevlyn Grant:

Were there any timelines for the activities in the action plan?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

There are various timelines. We have priority areas that we are focused on. There are other things that we need to update concerning what is happening in the community. We need to update the strategic plan. During agenda item six, when we start updating the activities, we might get some answers.

Vevlyn Grant:

We were all working on questions for the presenting agencies.

Pamela Juniel:

Thank you, Vevlyn, for the overview of housing. I see all the notes. We've refined from the eight strategic issues. How does education fit into each of these specific areas? There are two areas of education, which are education for children and adults and education about homelessness that is public facing. Those are the two things that I must contend with.

Vevlyn Grant:

I'm glad you mentioned public facing education. That is what we need. It wasn't one of the top three priority goals. We do need education. People don't seem to know where to look for the notices that are being placed. The public who needs the help don't know where to look. That's where the strategic plan comes into play by identifying which agencies are responsible for putting certain information out to the public. Education is paramount, not only to the three workgroups, but this entire mission. The public needs to know what's going on. We must be able to speak to them in a language they understand. That's where education comes into play.

Pamela Juniel:

We had one of the strategic issues focused on education. These three workgroups are at the fore

because of the group's decision. I will always step my toe in. There's an area of education where we need to ensure we're removing bias. This group is at the forefront of that.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you. Is there anything additional for Wraparound Services?

Vevlyn Grant:

The Wraparound Services workgroup met last week. Chris gave some feedback on questions we wanted some clarity on. Our highest priority is goal three objective one which is to leverage existing state resources such as Medicaid, managed care organizations, community health centers, behavioral health providers and others to maximize opportunities for wraparound care. Chris' feedback was that certified community behavioral health clinics are required as part of their nine core services to provide psychosocial rehabilitation, supportive employment, housing assistance, peer support, basic skills training and family support services. Presently, we have ten in the state. We also had questions for the ICHH as well as any agencies we can get feedback from. Are there similar requirements for federally qualified health centers? Is this not a requirement for HUD funded CoC housing programs? What other agencies receive funding or can bill for wraparound services?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

It is not a requirement for HUD funded CoC housing programs to provide the nine core services.

Vevlyn Grant:

Are there any similar requirements for the FQHCs? What other agencies receive funding? Regarding goal one's objective to increase access to matching funds from state agencies to the Continuum of Care providers to improve wraparound services, there was a state grants RFP released last year for agencies needing funding for matching federal grants. Are these funds still available?

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Those matching funds were for agencies applying directly to federal organizations rather than through collaborative applications. I went to a couple of training courses and emailed them to get clarification. That is still an opportunity. Adrienne might be able to speak more about that. In some of our processes, it goes through a collaborative applicant process. We're not able to tap into those funds for match unless we put the application in directly with the federal entity.

Vevlyn Grant:

Thank you for that feedback.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Concerning matching funds, there is an account for affordable housing, which is designed as a home match for participating jurisdictions. If there are additional matching funds that were advertised, I'd have to check with the state and federal grants team. As far as I know, it's the account for affordable housing that does homelessness prevention, rapid rehousing that's designed to be that match.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Concerning what you asked about the CoC funding, the CoC doesn't have those nine requirements. However, CoC funding can be used for certain wraparound services. There's a difference. It's not certain things aren't required. But certain things can be used depending on how the application is written, what the project is and how their budget is developed. Some of those wraparound services may be eligible for program participants. It depends. It's not a blanket statement, but it's not all throwing it all out. It's not all are necessarily eligible, either.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

The Governor's Office of Federal Assistance administers the Grant Matching Fund Program. It is a state program created in 2019 through Assembly Bill 489. One million dollars was appropriated from Senate Bill 528 in the 2019 session. It is a State of Nevada Governor's Office program. I will share the link with everyone in case anybody wants to learn more.

Vevlyn Grant:

Chris identified the need to update one of the items in our goal number two objectives to reflect HUD's current housing priorities and remove rapid rehousing as a possible strategy.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We will be updating the status of where we are with the activities within the strategic plan. For clarification, HUD isn't going away from rapid rehousing. There's a change in prioritization in the way funding is targeted. In the most recent federal notice of funding, it didn't mean that rapid rehousing is not a good housing strategy. It also didn't say that communities across the country should not continue to utilize rapid rehousing as a housing best practice. I have not seen a refute about it as best practice in assisting people who might need it. Nothing is a silver bullet for everybody exiting homelessness. It's important to match the appropriate intervention with the needs of people who are experiencing homelessness. That's why we have assessment tools and prioritization.

Brooke Page:

Is there a need for us to make some recommendations to the ICHH about how to advance the action plan to inform the work? For example, part of the Wraparound Services goal three, objective one was an activity listed to conduct a comprehensive assessment of existing state resources. This includes the Medicaid program, managed care organizations, community health centers, behavioral health providers and other relevant entities to identify strengths, gaps and areas of improvement in delivering wraparound services. The Wraparound Services workgroup recommended that we ask ICHH to have presentations from two or three key departments that we think could cover this particular activity. We can ask specific questions that would help inform this activity. This intel could help us start tracking where eligibility criteria lives and how someone in the community would navigate learning about these state agencies in terms of how they provide wraparound services. We can then start capturing that in the action plan. Is that something that we think would be helpful in providing clarity for our work?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Brooke. You did a great job of synthesizing and bringing us back to focus on our purpose and what we should be doing in our workgroups.

Brooke Page:

Thank you, Michele. If everybody else agrees, we could focus there and take one step at a time to get the clarity we are all seeking.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

What does everybody think?

Brooke Page:

Does anybody have concerns about us making recommendations to start populating this information? We've got five questions that the Wraparound Services workgroup would ask state agencies to present answers to at an ICHH meeting.

Arash Ghafoori:

That is a great idea. It is a succinct way of getting clarity and purpose while being efficient with their time.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Brooke, have you been making a list of those questions?

Brooke Page:

Yes. I can read them if that is helpful. What wraparound services are currently funded and delivered through your system of care? What populations are prioritized? Who remains underserved in your programs? Where are the biggest gaps in geography, population and service types? How are care coordination and cross-sector referrals handled? How does one get access to receive your services? What existing assets should be scaled or replicated statewide? Where is the money coming from to access those dollars? Are the resources to scale it? Those questions would help us get a clear understanding of how a community member experiencing homelessness interfaces with them and gets access to their resources. We could ask the Health Authority to do a presentation on the Medicaid program and Public and Behavioral Health to provide us clarity on their services. This is specific to wraparound services.

Adrienne Babbitt:

The Housing workgroup proposed some similar type of survey questions. We would ask the ICHH to identify or pass on these targeted questions to the person within their organization that would be most suited to answer. The questions were similar. What is your prioritization for funding? Do you know of significant gaps? What are your current sources for housing pipeline development? In the request to the ICHH, we are trying to align the three workgroups with the methodology for gathering information.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you for that, Adrienne. Those are some of the things that the Prevention and Intervention workgroup previously discussed. Where is the funding coming from? Where are the funding gaps? Who is being funded? As Adrienne said, aligning the questions would enable us to get the information we need moving forward. That would be helpful. As we think about our new strategic plan, maybe we don't need such a robust action plan. Since getting into the minutia of action planning, we think more strategically for the future for our new iteration of the plan and be more purposeful. Vevlyn?

Vevlyn Grant:

At the last Prevention and Intervention workgroup meeting, we discussed approving a question list for the CoC presentation. Should we add the questions of the other two workgroups to that list?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Are you referring to the Google Sheets?

Vevlyn Grant:

Yes. We have our own set of questions. Would these questions align with the other groups' questions? There are similar questions being asked in different ways. Should we curate these questions to make sure they're not overlapping but still get the information we need?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

It would make sense to combine duplicate questions and take one package of questions to the ICHH.

Vevlyn Grant:

Due to the established connection, our groups felt that having the three CoCs present to us first would be easier. We came up with a set of questions to ask them. Our plan was to prepare a presentation packet to send them. This would allow them time to become informed on our questions and prepare answers. Should we include questions from the other groups?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I thought you meant the questions that we were sending to the ICHH to gather information from the state agencies.

Vevlyn Grant:

Should we take the other ten to twelve relevant questions from the other workgroups to our presentation to the CoCs?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I would be cautious to avoid duplicate effort. I want to ensure that anything the Prevention and Intervention workgroup is getting from the CoCs is not something we're asking the ICHH to get. If not, it makes sense to gather questions from the other two workgroups that they want from the CoCs.

Vevlyn Grant:

Got it.

Brooke Page:

There is consistency across the board in terms of information we want to gather. It makes sense for us to have a presentation recommendation template that compiles these things into a set of suggested questions that we're proposing to anybody who we are gathering information from. We could have one standard PowerPoint template to ask people to help us answer these questions by providing background on their programs and links to websites or resources that we could get further information on programs. This would make it easier for everyone. Those things could be emailed and avoid needing to do a whole presentation. They could send back their answers and resources. If there's a more robust program or something that we think would be important for us to get a presentation to the ICHH, we can decipher that. Having a standardized tool will help us gather our desired information across the workgroups.

Arash Ghafoori:

That is a great idea.

Pamela Juniel:

We've used tools before to gather information. I agree with Brooke's suggestion.

Arash Ghafoori:

At the expense of understanding what it is like to be on the receiving end of a large amount of requests, does this information exist anywhere already that's recently compiled?

Brooke Page:

I agree for us to avoid duplicating effort. Some due diligence is needed. The Silver State Plan recently rolled out an improvement plan to improve behavioral health across the state. There may be an intersection there. There may be a lot of these providers that are informing that improvement plan. It might be important for us to understand if there are other action or strategic plans that intersect with addressing homelessness.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Next steps?

Chris Murphey:

It would be good to compile all the recommended questions together into one list and send it to all the working groups for each to have a chance to review and see if there were additional questions they would like to ask.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I don't know if we can do that because of quorum issues. The information that came to me after our last meeting about sharing the spreadsheet from the Prevention and Intervention workgroup. I will defer to the H2H team regarding that being allowed.

Abigail Bagolor:

We can accept all the questions from the working groups. However, any actions must be done during

your regular scheduled meeting so we can compile all of it and have it on the agenda for discussion and voting if there is action needed.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

You can't distribute it to everybody for them to discuss at their workgroups, but they could compile different lists and send them to you for you to compile a list to discuss at our next meeting?

Abigail Bagolor:

That is correct.

Vevlyn Grant:

There is another good question to add. Does your organization have a strategic action plan or framework from which you are currently working? Most likely, they do. They are also more likely to buy into the braiding recommendation that we have because that's something that's probably already in their framework or strategic plan. They are probably overwhelmed with the depth of their action plan.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

What's is our next step? This is an action item, so we can take a vote if we need to or we can come to consensus. Are we going to compile a list of questions to send to the ICHH? Their next meeting is in September. Is it after our next meeting?

Abigail Bagolor:

Their next meeting is on September 17th and your next meeting is on September 15th.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Our meeting is two days before theirs. Adrienne?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Is it possible that we get something on their agenda that previews our ask for a point of contact to reach out regarding some specific questions and information gathering around these broader topics? That would provide us more time to come up with specific questions. Then, the ICHH can provide us some feedback with who would be the right people for us to reach out to or invite to present to the ICHHTA.

Brooke Page:

Are you requesting that the ICHHTA recommend to the ICHH for a list of names that we can reach out to? Or is that we want to understand who's the sphere of influence that we would want to get information from to answer these questions?

Adrienne Babbitt:

In the interest of time to try to get some of these questions answered in the event we are unable to present our list of questions until a December meeting. We can potentially outreach to people they designate at their September meeting. We can provide the types of information we are seeking and ask for the ICHH to identify somebody. We could gather information in a variety of ways. If there's a way to

not be delayed by needing to get something on their agenda. We could present more generalized information and try to work in the interim.

Brooke Page:

That is a great idea. Do we go to the ICHH with this skeleton of what we are wanting to achieve with these questions, the strategy and plan? Are we requesting the ICHH to give us some support without reaching to these various different departments through email or collect request on their behalf? That way, between ICHH meetings, information could be gathered. Then, we can have an email template that has the committee members, their roles and a request to these departments and agencies for information. Does that seem like it aligns?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Yes.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I like that idea. If we could get an intro letter or directive memo to the state agencies from the ICHH saying the ICHHTA is acting on their behalf to gather information, it would hopefully open some doors for people to be willing to give us the time and provide the information we need. Rather than us needing to have the questions fully collected and formalized in the way we are going to ask them, it will be a general overview of the things we are looking for, why we are looking for it and our goal. We will ask for their blessing and a directive memo to move forward and help us open the doors.

Adrienne Babbitt:

We should present or draft a template for the ICHH to review or refine and hopefully get an approval at their September meeting versus requesting a letter of support.

Brooke Page:

When we've done this in the past, we've used a working group to help us develop this concept or recommendation memo and brought that to this committee for review and approval. Then, added that to an agenda for the ICHH to consider. With our meeting scheduled two days before their meeting, I'm unsure that is enough time to agendize. Do we make a motion on our committee to give a working group the ability to develop this structure and template for ICHH to consider and get approved by email before their agenda deadline? Abigail, do you have any thoughts about that or how we could inform a recommendation for the ICHH agenda? Do we already have a standing item on their agenda so that we could let this be the topic we bring forward?

Abigail Bagolor:

That is correct, Brooke. You are under agenda item number four. It is a standing agenda item for the ICHHTA to provide updates regarding the action plan to the strategic plan.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Is that for information only or potential action?

Abigail Bagolor:

For potential action.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Okay.

Brooke Page:

We don't have to rush. We can have a working group meeting come up with some recommendations and present at our next meeting what we would like to present to the ICHH at their meeting.

Adrienne Babbitt:

That's fabulous.

Brooke Page:

Who wants to be on the committee?

Adrienne Babbitt:

There should be representation from the other three workgroups. One person from each of those groups should be in this other workgroup to make sure they are all represented.

Pamela Juniel:

I will hang in for education if you need me.

Brooke Page:

Vevlyn, are you able to be in this workgroup?

Vevlyn Grant:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Who else? Doctor Juniel?

Pamela Juniel:

Yes. I will be in the workgroup to help with representation across the three in terms of education.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Who else is going to be in this workgroup to put together this memo and draft template to the ICHH?

Adrienne Babbitt:

I do not think I can commit to being in this workgroup. I will commit to sending some proposed questions or comments in support of this letter via email.

Brooke Page:

I second that.

Arash Ghafoori:

I am happy to review something but unfortunately can't commit to being in the workgroup.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

If somebody else can schedule it, I will be in the workgroup.

Vevlyn Grant:

I can help with scheduling.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

The group will be Vevlyn, Pam and me.

Pamela Juniel:

I will also make contributions to the other workgroups to support their efforts.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Seeing and hearing nothing further, we will close agenda item number five.

Agenda Item VI. [For Information Only – Review of the Summary Section of the ICHH Strategic Action Plan]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

The summary section of the strategic action plan auto-populates from the rest of the action plan. We also have strategic priorities that we are working on. Our purpose today is to establish the most current picture of our progress on the strategic action plan. The three priority areas have nineteen priority activities. We will look at those activities under the priority goals and do a rapid pass through the rest to identify things we can assign status. Do we know if things have been completed, are near complete, in progress, not complete or if we leave them blank? Let's start with Housing. Housing goal number four, which is one of our top priorities, to develop a statewide affordable housing dashboard that lives on the state website that provides real time inventory of the affordable housing stock by income category and geography. What is our status on that?

Pamela Juniel:

Could we add an education or workforce development component to each of the strategic issues? That way it folds into all of them. It's not necessarily separate but is incorporated across all of them.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We are going to look at the actions that are under education and workforce as we go through the plan. Today, we will assess where we are with the progress to the plan and identify where we are for making

progress to the plan. I want to make note of that and make sure that we keep that in mind concerning internal and external education. It is an underlying theme across all things for us to have a conversation about.

Pamela Juniel:

Thank you so much. I appreciate the group for your open mindedness.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you.

Pamela Juniel:

It helps us do our work more holistically and save time. We can discuss that another time.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you for bringing that up. There might be other places where we want to think about where things are more holistically ingrained and we separated things where they didn't need to be separated.

Pamela Juniel:

Agreed. It's all about evolution. Thank you, Madam Chair and committee.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

For developing a statewide affordable housing dashboard that lives on the state's website, we know that's not complete, but what is the status on that?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Juawana, Vevlyn and I met in May for our initial Housing workgroup and misunderstood the assignment. We started to update where we thought we were concerning some of these things and what actions or progress has been taken. I have notes on some of the goals. We noted that the lead department for the affordable housing dashboard would be the Nevada Housing Division. We need local jurisdictions to collaborate. We are using the current NV Housing website for inventory and tracking affordable housing units, but it does not show real time vacancies. We don't currently have a good system to streamline in managing a live dashboard with buy-in from all the individuals that would need to participate. We are currently looking at how we could improve the NV Housing search. I'm not sure that a live vacancy rate real time dashboard is something that's been making much progress without additional capacity and partnerships with everyone bought in to the same goal.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Would you say this is planned for the future, in progress or left blank as no status?

Adrienne Babbitt:

It's still a goal but there's not been any actual progress made on this item.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Is this planned for next year or should we leave it blank?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We should leave it blank for now. We would need to have some more targeted conversations with developers and local partners to try to align on whether this is a shared vision and goal that we want to pursue.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

What about developing a multi-sector housing plan for Nevada that includes a plan on addressing homelessness with housing and holds businesses accountable to contributing to the housing ecosystem of the communities?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We noted that the ICHH and the governor's office would be the lead departments. Collaborating departments would be the GOED, RTC and, potentially, the City of Las Vegas with their Hundred-Year Plan. The next step would be a memo from the ICHH to the governor's office requesting coordination across state housing plans, which is something that we could request but has not been acted upon outside of what was discussed today in the new workgroup.

Brooke Page:

That is a powerful recommendation. Is it possible for us to capture these requests to the ICHH for their September meeting? We're updating this, but if there are things with these top priorities outside of the presentation, we should also ask for updates and understanding. This is an actionable activity that the ICHH can discuss and consider at a meeting. How do we leverage things that can be done in the immediate future?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That's a great call out, Brooke.

Adrienne Babbitt:

In our workgroup notes, we identified that we want to propose consolidating into one single statewide plan that incorporates other local plans led by the governor's office. However, building consensus and analysis of the plan's election year cycles could be considerations for not moving this work forward. We could request a targeted approach to requesting coordination of state housing plans such as an executive order.

Brooke Page:

We should bring this for a discussion with the lens that Adrienne articulated. If there's a way for us to figure out how this can be palatable for a governor's administration, what would it need to have? What is the collaboration between local jurisdictions, state agencies and other jurisdictions that can help inform a statewide plan to address homelessness? How do we create a space where ICHH feels comfortable engaging in that conversation with the governor's office?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We could raise it and request they discuss it. Given the current election cycle, they may not, but we can ask if they consider it.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Adrienne, do you have your recommendations from the workgroup as they pertain to the action plan written to send and be filled in?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Yes. Would you like me to send those to Abigail or you?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Please send them to both of us.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Okay.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Would you identify this as in progress?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

To the point of Brooke and you, we can highlight this as an item that we keep an eye on or keep working toward with the ICHH as an action. We should keep bringing that forward to them. If anybody identifies things to bring forward to the ICHH, let me know, and I will target it on my copy. The next action is to utilize multi-sector housing plan as a tool. Where are we at, planned, in progress or complete?

Adrienne Babbitt:

In progress. There's a lot of housing education that's occurring. There's more that can be done. We can leverage some of our partners to help with convening elected officials. It's coming into conference season. Elected officials and their staff typically attend.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Okay.

Brooke Page:

Is this one predicated on number two being created or are we saying general education is about affordable housing and addressing homelessness is happening? Is it in progress or is this specifically wanting an actual plan that's baked and we're using to socialize and educate elected officials?

Pamela Juniel:

That was one of the reasons I asked about education being part of all of them. Depending on the focal area or what we're trying to do through these action plans, education is going to be different. This is

where we, as a team with all the great input that we are developing, can decide what education will look like based on the strategic issue.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Is the action housing plan or tool from the plan used to educate?

Adrienne Babbitt:

The way that it is written it is predicated on the development of a one plan for Nevada. Given that we do not have that, we've been trying to leverage other housing plans that are in place in the education piece even though they're not all aligned. Housing and homelessness education is happening, but it's not technically using this multi-sector housing plan because it's not been created yet. We should change the status to not started.

Pamela Juniel:

I agree. That would be a decision that we would need to make as to how education would look, hit and fit as well as compile the data.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Is that a planned activity for next year or do we leave that one blank?

Pamela Juniel:

We should leave it blank. This work is evolving as the pieces come together. That's where we can still move forward with it. We aren't completely abandoning it.

Brooke Page:

Adrienne's initial interpretation is there are things happening. People are getting educated. The power of what a multi-sector plan can do is leverage all these other spheres of influence, such as the business, housing and homeless sectors, to be aligned with the plan. I don't want to dilute the importance of what this is asking for until it's created. We should say it's not done.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

So, do we leave it blank or put it in for planned for next year?

Brooke Page:

I would say blank.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Okay. The next item is to support the Nevada Housing Coalition with building the capacity of everybody. Where are we?

Vevlyn Grant:

I would leave that blank. This was one of those things that was a question as to where the ICHH falls into this request.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Okay. Identify gap financing for operating resources for deeply affordable housing?

Vevlyn Grant:

Planned for 2026 and 2027.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Identify bonus incentives to increase the production of deeply affordable housing?

Vevlyn Grant:

That should be left blank.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Let's jump to Homeless Prevention. Prioritize the most vulnerable and ensure the assessors are having real conversations about problem solving and alternative solutions. The queue is competitive. Not all people facing eviction will become homeless. I don't know that we've done a whole lot at our level and can't speak to where everybody is across the state.

Pamela Juniel:

Should we leave that blank?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Probably. Ensure funds are targeted as possible. Direct outcomes are more likely with rapid rehousing when someone is seeking shelter.

Pamela Juniel:

That's a tough one. Just two weeks ago, the federal government allowed McKinney-Vento funding to be used for hotel and motel stays and temporary emergency shelter. At this point, that would be in progress. In terms of the vantage point of this group, can everyone else weigh in?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That's one of the things we're trying to do with the list and getting questions answered. We've not necessarily made any inroads in that. We're trying to collect information now. We can't truly say in progress. We may say planned.

Vevlyn Grant:

This is probably the activity that we're the most in progress. We formed our framework of what we're trying to do with the presentations. That was phase one of this activity.

Pamela Juniel:

That's also what I say. Going further back with EHCY money, we were able to use that funding for hotel and motel stays until it was completely liquidated and dissolved by the federal government in March of this year. This is in progress. We can incorporate that into the Prevention and Intervention work plan for a part of that presentation. I am willing to help with that.

Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Target data driven areas of assistance redlining.

Vevlyn Grant:

That one should be left blank. We haven't moved forward in discussing that one.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

All frontline workers should be trained on housing, problem solving and diversion conversations. That hasn't happened in the rurals.

Pamela Juniel:

I attended some of the training RN CoC has put on.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We haven't done housing, problem solving and diversion conversations yet.

Pamela Juniel:

I defer to Madam Chairwoman, who is also overseeing RN CoC.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I don't know what's happening in the other two CoCs on that. More efficiency and consistency in assessments.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Concerning the discussion with the CoCs, is that something we could request to engage with them on? We talked about identifying any call to action as we're reviewing this. That's one where we could. There's already plans to talk with the three CoCs. We could add that as a topic to see if that's something they'd be willing to engage in or even have interest in aligning on.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That's a great idea.

Pamela Juniel:

In the past, we had the CoCs make presentations to this group. I was going to propose that.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Let's jump to Wraparound Services Goal Three. That is our third priority.

Brooke Page:

This is activity one around conducting a comprehensive assessment. That is in progress. We want to develop a template that we're asking different agencies to help us inform. Engaging key stakeholders including state agencies is in progress. Establishing strategic partnerships and collaborations is also in progress. Reviewing existing policies and regulations related to Medicaid is a 2027 action item. Providing training and capacity building initiatives for healthcare providers is a 2027 activity. Developing mechanisms for integrating data across state agencies should be left blank.

Pamela Juniel:

Some of us in the group have performed studies and published them, so this item should be marked as in progress. NPHY completed the youth experiencing homelessness study and I published the McKinney-Vento report two months ago.

Brooke Page:

How do we track the outcomes of that?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

This was about integrating data across agencies rather than collecting and writing a report. It's how we are collecting the data, tracking outcomes, measuring performance and evaluating across all our systems collectively.

Pamela Juniel:

Thank you for the clarification.

Brooke Page:

This should be left blank. Exploring payment reform strategies such as value-based payment models is something that could be explored in 2027. Conduct outreach and education efforts to raise awareness is a 2027 activity.

Vevlyn Grant:

That last one is where I have many notes. I will email you, Doctor Juniel, to collaborate.

Pamela Juniel:

That's fine. I am here for you.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Those are the top three priorities. Is there anything in Housing that we know is either planned for 2026, in progress or completed?

Arash Ghafouri:

NPHY and some of the CoCs and other partners are going to be embarking on creating a statewide plan around addressing ending youth homelessness. We will have housing recommendations and other components that relate to some of this.

Brooke Page:

For goal two activity two under Housing, there is a proposal that's being considered by the Housing Coalition to address source of income discrimination. That should be marked in progress.

Pamela Juniel:

NDE was awarded a grant from Thrive from the Start. We are drafting a state action plan to help pregnant and parenting individuals experiencing housing insecurity by working with legislators to update specific housing legislation and statute. This is not only including veterans and elderly for housing

support, but also for pregnant, parenting and expectant parents who are experiencing housing insecurity. That would be in progress.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Which item is that?

Pamela Juniel:

Prioritize development of supported housing that targets access to housing for specific populations.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you for that. Anything else in housing that's been completed, in progress or planned?

Brooke Page:

Goal two, activity four, under the leadership of the Nevada Housing Division, there is the Nevada Supportive Housing Development Account that is specifically targeted for this goal. That is in progress.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Okay.

Brooke Page:

Can we pair goal two, activity six with Adrienne's memo recommendation to the governor's office?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We'll put in progress for that one. Any others completed, in progress or planned for under Housing? Are there any items under Homeless Prevention that are completed, in progress or planned for the next year?

Pamela Juniel:

Under goal four, there are specific campaigns. Can we make that broader or should it matter? There have been tools and platforms created that aren't listed.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Can you provide an example, please?

Pamela Juniel:

For the activity to expand and promote Project Homeless Connect events, should that be made broader or do we want to keep it specifically tied to that particular entity? This pertains to all the activities. For developing a statewide awareness campaign, there are other tools and platforms than 2-1-1. Should we make that broader or add items to the list?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Instead of specifically calling out Project Homeless Connect, we can list this item as expand and promote community events that share information on preventing homelessness.

Pamela Juniel:

I ask this because we have representation from different organizations. This may be exclusionary where a lot of us are doing the same work. It all needs to be highlighted to remove silos.

Adrienne Babbitt:

It could go either way. We should capture the other events. It's been a while since we've examined some of these specific activities. We should expand to capture other activities that are happening in this objective.

Pamela Juniel:

That's where I move that the status would be in progress. If we broaden it, it is happening. There are some things that could be done for 2026 and 2027 considering the work that is continuously being done. We haven't stopped doing the work. It's just homing in on who's doing the work and what those partnerships look like now versus when we initially developed this instrument.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

You bring up a good point and something for us to consider in our next iteration of the plan. Calling out specificity for certain things makes sense and doesn't for other things. There are some things that are a temperature check that we might need to go through as we develop our new plan and what gets called out in a plan and what doesn't. We are doing a status update rather than an update to what's in the plan.

Brooke Page:

The need for specificity is important. If there's other activities that we want to be explicit, whether it be Northern Nevada Project Homeless Connector rural specific activity, we want to ensure that we're promoting outreach and education opportunities to the public. We want to create awareness of resources to prevent homelessness if that is something we're trying to educate on. If this list is three pages long, there are all these different opportunities for us to educate the public. It would be good to capture in this action plan the things that we need to be aware of that address this objective. Sometimes us being too broad makes it seem like things are happening or don't know things are happening. We're trying to get to the granular specificity of what it is that we need to understand and educate about.

Pamela Juniel:

I agree. This is something we can approach in the next iteration.

Adrienne Babbitt:

This is meant to be a living document. For purposes of future agendas, we should keep an item to review and discuss some of these goals to avoid having to do the whole thing in one meeting. We would be able to do thirty minutes in every meeting and constantly keep it top of mind. We are working on potentially starting a new strategic plan. I want to be mindful that this is something we could be reviewing and updating at every meeting.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Great idea. Thank you for that, Adrienne.

Brooke Page:

I agree with Adrienne. We should only do one topic at a meeting. For example, we can focus on Housing or Prevention. Now that we have direction from the ICHH on our role in this action plan, what do we want as a TA working group that makes sense for our time and attention?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We should make some internal decision on proposed legislation. Going into the legislative cycle, there is going to be a lot of BDRs proposed. Whether we wait and call that in progress until it gets picked up by committee or is sponsored, I want to be mindful that we're not sharing on a public facing document that something is in progress when it's not necessarily across the finish line.

Pamela Juniel:

This is internal.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

This is a public meeting, so if it gets shown on the screen, anybody who is viewing the meeting has access. I want to be mindful of time. It is 3:17 pm. Our meeting is scheduled until 3:30 pm. The purpose of this agenda item was for us to update where we were on our overarching plan to present at the statewide conference. We have one more meeting before the statewide conference. Should we pause with this agenda item and resume next meeting?

Brooke Page:

I move for that recommendation.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I am not hearing any counterargument. I will close agenda item number six.

Agenda Item VII. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Action Regarding the Report from the Conference Subcommittee. The Report Will Include the Plans for the Upcoming Nevada Homeless Alliance Conference Such as Proposed Activities, Assigned Responsibilities, and Next Steps]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Arash, do you want to give a report?

Arash Ghafoori:

We're trying to draw a fine line between engaging the participants at the statewide conference on how we can further the statewide plan, especially the next iteration. We wanted to draw a balance between asking questions that would help us inform the direction and shape the type of plan that we want to

provide an update without overwhelming them with too many details and something they would be willing to fill out for us. We've come up with five or six groups of questions, the survey methodology, flyer code, etcetera that we think would be appropriate to engage the crowd around our work. Then, Michele took our discussion and wrote some draft questions and helped draft the flyer. The flyers are related to educating people on what the statewide plan is. Are they aware that it exists? It also supplies the QR code to get the input from them. After we do this at the statewide conference, the group has agreed to synthesize the data. There's a mix of questions we can easily quantify and qualitative ones that we're going to have to analyze. The group has agreed to synthesize that after the conference and present that to this group to further the information that we find from the survey.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Arash. We have the survey with a QR code. We would also have a stand-up flyer with the QR code for people to scan. The MC will mention it a couple of times during the conference that the ICHH is seeking input to prepare for the next iteration of the state plan. The survey will ask if people were already aware of the plan, to gauge their level of awareness, and share their thoughts on priorities on preventing and ending homelessness for the next three to five years by selecting three choices. Giving them this list, we were able to consolidate some things that don't need to be as delineated as they have been in our existing plan. There is also an option to fill other things out. These will include asking what the greatest opportunity for Nevada to improve its response to homelessness over the next three to five years. Imagine Nevada is successful in implementing the next strategic plan. Over the next three to five years, what would be meaningfully different? We can then think on how to measure that. There will be an optional question asking if there is anything else the ICHH should consider in developing the plan. We will have a question on how a person would like to stay involved and what their perspectives are in offering the information such as a person with lived experience, a provider or a government entity. We will have a section asking the region they are coming from. We would like to ask the H2H team members to help us create the survey and give us a QR code. We will need your approval for the survey. We also created three different iterations of a flyer. The team decided on one pager example as the best option.

Arash Ghafoori:

Michele, that is beautiful.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

These materials will tell people who we are, our mission and our three priorities of Housing Resources, Prevention and Intervention, and Wraparound Services. It will explain that we are working on a sharper statewide plan. There will be space for QR codes to the current plan and the survey.

Pamela Juniel:

This is great. We haven't had anything like this before.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We have not. I created two other iterations of the flyer with more stuff on them. If we could get permission that we could include the state seal since we are part of the state. The flyer addresses what

the ICHH and ICHHTA are, why they matter, how we work together with state leadership and why it matters to engage. I was considering including how many items we have planned and their progress status but that might be too much. This is why I ended up with the last one, which is minimal. Those are the three iterations of the flyer for your consideration.

Brooke Page:

The amount of work that you were able to do since our last meeting is amazing. I commend this committee. Thank you for your efforts. It would be great to find a balance between this robust version with great information and a simple two-and-a-half-page version. It would be helpful to go back to this conference that we have attended every year and give them an update on how their voice has helped inform where we're at. I get feedback with people asking how we are using their information to inform what we are doing. If we could capture a key dashboard of how the previous conferences have helped us get to where we are, people could see that their voice is meaningful and helpful in moving the process along.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any thoughts or concerns about the survey?

Vevlyn Grant:

I love the survey. The ease of access with the QR code will allow everybody at the conference to take a photo of the QR code and get right to it. We should have someone go through and make sure there are no kinks in anything before we set it out to the larger population.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any questions or concerns on the survey? Are you all good with the survey and flyer? Who is going to man the table? Doctor Catrina and I will not be able to man the table at the conference. She's running the conference. Arash will be unavailable.

Vevlyn Grant:

I would love to help fill any gap that needs support.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Vevlyn. Does anybody want to help Vevlyn?

Brooke Page:

It would be interesting if this table could be between other tables that are supported by other organizations to allow for dual function. If there was a Winged Wolf table, this table could be between them and a CSH table. That way a person could leverage dual roles.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Winged Wolf is going to have a table. Is CSH going to have a table?

Brooke Page:

I believe so.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

If Doctor Catrina could arrange that, that's a phenomenal idea. We would be glad to provide support. Even if I'm not personally at the table, my team will be.

Pamela Juniel:

Depending on my schedule, I can be a backup option to provide support.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Abigail, do we need to take a vote on moving forward with the survey?

Abigail Bagolor:

This item is for possible action. You can take a vote, but if you need to make any changes, we will have to make another agenda item for that.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Could you move forward with creating the survey without taking a vote?

Abigail Bagolor:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Will we have to vote before implementing the survey?

Abigail Bagolor:

Correct.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Okay.

Brooke Page:

Is there a way we could see these through an email to provide any feedback and finalize these before the next meeting?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

For the survey or flyer?

Brooke Page:

For both. The survey could be started. We will have the ability to provide feedback on any recommended changes between now and our next meeting.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Abigail, could we create the survey and have this group be a test subject?

Abigail Bagolor:

That is doable.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That way we could give feedback on the way it's worded and how it works.

Abigail Bagolor:

We can try that.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Okay. In the meantime, can you send out the flyer and ask people for feedback?

Abigail Bagolor:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Brooke, does that work for your request?

Brooke Page:

Yes. Thank you.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We will forgo a vote until next month and keep this item on the agenda. Does that sound like a plan?

Brooke Page:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I will now close agenda item number seven.

Agenda Item VIII. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Action Regarding Recommendations for the ICHH's Future Agenda Items]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We've been talking about items to suggest for ICHH's next agenda throughout this meeting and I've been taking notes. We have a standing item on their agenda. Who will be able to present at ICHH's next meeting?

Brooke Page:

I could make myself available to present.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Brooke. I appreciate that. The ICHH meetings conflict with the Rural Nevada CoC Steering Committee meetings, so I always have a conflict. It should not always fall on Brooke. Consider for the future who else wants to step up.

Arash Ghafoori:

I will step up at some point. I still feel new at this point and want to make sure I understand the full context what Brooke and you do.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Just show up to the ICHH meeting and listen in. The next meeting is September 17 at 1:00 pm.

Arash Ghafoori:

I'm unsure that I'm on the invite or get links for that, but if someone can clue me on how to access it, I'll try to do it.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

One of the H2H team can make sure you get that information.

Arash Ghafoori:

Thank you, H2H team and Madam Chair.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Anything else on this agenda item? Hearing none, we will close agenda item number eight.

Agenda Item IX. [For Information Only – Discussion of Agenda Items for the Next Meeting on September 15, 2026]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We have a lot of agenda items that are carrying over such as approval of the minutes, workgroup discussions, Strategic Action Plan discussion, possible action for the State conference and possible action regarding recommendations for the ICHH and our agenda items. Is there anything else we need for next month's agenda?

Vevlyn Grant:

Did we agree that we wanted to do that standardized list of questions and come back to the next meeting to agree on them?

Brooke Page:

The presentation framework is what you are referring to, right?

Vevlyn Grant:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Anything else? Hearing none, we will close agenda item nine.

Agenda Item X. [General Public Comments]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Item number ten on our agenda is public comment. No action may be taken upon a matter raised until the matter has been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111, ID 731 029 308 followed by pound. We are now open to public comments. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the committee. Do we have any public comment? Do we have any written comments?

Abigail Bagolor:

There are none.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Hearing and seeing no public comment, I will close this agenda item. It is 3:47 pm. I will adjourn this meeting of the Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee. Thank you so much. I will see you next month.

Agenda Item XI. [Adjournment 3:47 pm]

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Abigail Bagolor

Abigail Bagolor, Committee Moderator

APPROVED BY:

Michele Fuller-Hallauer

Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Chair

Date: 09/04/2026